



## Bird Watching Recreation Demand

This EnviroAtlas national map portrays estimated day trip recreation demand for bird watching within each 12-digit hydrologic unit ([HUC](#)) in the contiguous United States. These data are based on population distribution and participants reported willingness to travel for closely observing or photographing birds.

### Why is recreational bird watching important?

Wildlife watching is an industry that supports conservation, local business, government, and general well-being.<sup>1</sup> Land managers must understand the demand for bird watching to help better plan recreation areas in places where people are more likely to participate. The demand for and revenue from bird watching contributes to the continued conservation of natural lands, which provide benefits such as air and water filtration, water and carbon storage, mitigation from natural hazards, and appealing settings that encourage people to spend time outdoors. Time spent outdoors recreating can improve health and help connect people with the environment and the [ecosystem services](#) that it provides.<sup>2</sup>

In 2011, 18.9 million recreationists spent time observing and photographing birds away from their home, which accounted for 84% of the total wildlife watches in that year and generated \$40.9 billion for the U.S. economy.<sup>1,3</sup> Across the country, bird watchers support over 666,000 jobs related to the manufacturing and sale of outdoor products or services.<sup>3</sup> According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), between 2006 and 2011 the numbers of days people participated in bird watching decreased by 12 percent while total trip expenditures increased by 7 percent.<sup>1,3</sup> In order to grow this industry, it is important for land managers to know where the demand for bird watching is highest so that they can plan recreational areas in places where people are more likely to participate.

The money generated from bird watching not only supports local businesses and economies, but it also contributes to conservation efforts across the United States. From local, state, and federal tax revenue related to wildlife watching, a total of \$13 billion is raised annually that contributes to conservation efforts.<sup>3</sup> Conservation of natural lands helps preserve ecosystem services such as water and air filtration, [carbon sequestration](#), and biodiversity enhancement from.

Outdoor recreation has significant impacts on human health and wellbeing. Recreational activities and time spent



Photo: Steve Hillebrand, USFWS

outdoors can improve cardiovascular and mental health by lowering blood pressure more than just through exercise alone.<sup>4</sup> Interacting with natural landscapes has also been shown to relieve stress and increase overall wellbeing.<sup>5,6</sup> By conserving natural land in areas where there is higher demand for recreation, it is possible to increase access to the outdoors and improve people's health and wellbeing.

### How can I use this information?

The map, Recreation Demand for Bird Watching, illustrates the estimated demand for recreational observing or photographing of birds in the contiguous U.S. Other EnviroAtlas maps show the recreation demand for migratory bird hunting, freshwater fishing, and big game hunting for each 12-digit HUC. Used together or independently, these maps can help identify the estimated demand for recreational activity to inform decisions about land conservation for hunting, fishing or bird watching.

This map can also be used in conjunction with other maps in EnviroAtlas, such as bird species richness maps, protected areas ([PADUS](#)) maps, or ecological regions, to help identify areas with high recreational value for inclusion in conservation and recreation management decisions. When used in conjunction with EnviroAtlas potential habitat stressor maps and economic data for recreation, users could determine the effect of degraded natural lands on local economies.<sup>7</sup>

## How were the data for this map created?

This data layer is based on the EnviroAtlas [dasymetric allocation](#) of population data. The dasymetric data illustrates where people are most likely to reside within an area based on land cover. The USFWS [Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation survey](#) (FHWAR, 2011) was used to determine the bird watching participation rates for different rural and urban demographic groups for each region in the U.S. Regional participation rates were applied to the dasymetric data for people over the age of 18 to determine the number of annual day trips people would take for bird watching. The U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service National Visitor Use Monitoring program ([NVUM](#), 2011) was used to generate people's willingness to travel for bird watching. Day trip recreational demand for bird watching in any given 480 meter pixel was calculated by distributing the number of days people were expected to participate in bird watching by their willingness to travel for that activity. The demand for bird watching in each pixel was then summarized by 12-digit HUC. For more information on these methods, see the layer's metadata or the publications below.<sup>7</sup>

## What are the limitations of these data?

EnviroAtlas uses the best data available, but there are still limitations associated with these data. The data are limited to individuals over the age of 18 taking day trips for bird watching. This layer does not provide information about individuals taking overnight trips. Willingness to travel for bird watching was calculated using visitor use data from National Forest and Grassland sites and therefore might not

be representative of people who bird watch on state or private land. Also, these data did not take into account infrastructure or geographical features (i.e. roads, cities, recreational destinations, restricted areas, etc.). Therefore, recreation demand may be higher or lower in certain areas depending on the proximity of recreation destinations and ease of access. Modeled data are intended to complement rather than replace monitoring data. This recreation demand model does not provide information on the actual amount of recreation in an area, but rather predicts the expected demand for bird watching in a given location.

## How can I access these data?

EnviroAtlas data can be viewed in the interactive map, accessed through web services, or downloaded. Data for [FHWAR](#) or [NVUM](#) can be accessed through their respective websites.

## Where can I get more information?

A selection of related resources and available data are listed below. For more information on the data creation process for EnviroAtlas, access the [metadata](#) for the data layer from the layer list drop down menu on the interactive map. To ask specific questions about this data layer, please contact the [EnviroAtlas Team](#).

## Acknowledgments

The data for Bird Watching Recreation Demand were generated by Samantha Sifleet and Michael Mangiante, EPA ORISE Fellows, and Lisa Wainger, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science. The fact sheet was created by Michael Mangiante.

## Selected Publications

1. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S. Census Bureau. 2014. [2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-associated Recreation](#), FHW/11-NAT(RV), Washington, D.C. Accessed January 2020.
2. U.S. Forest Service. 2010. [Connecting people with America's great outdoors: A framework for sustainable recreation](#). Washington, D.C. Accessed January 2020.
3. Laurent, E. 2013. [Birding in the United States: A demographic and economic analysis](#). Report 2011-1, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, Virginia. Accessed January 2020.
4. Pretty J., J. Peacock, M. Sellens, and M. Griffin. 2005. [The mental and physical health outcomes of green exercise](#). *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 15:319–337.
5. Netz, Y., M.J. Wu, B.J. Becker, and G. Tenenbaum. 2005. [Physical activity and psychological well-being in advanced age: A meta-analysis of intervention studies](#). *Psychology and Aging* 20:272–284.
6. Morita, E., S. Fukuda, J. Nagano, N. Hamajima, H. Yamamoto, Y. Iwai, T. Nakashima, H. Ohira, and T. Shirakawa. 2007. [Psychological effects of forest environments on healthy adults: Shinrin-yoku \(forest-air bathing, walking\) as a possible method of stress reduction](#). *Public Health* 121:54–63.
7. Mazzotta, M.J., L.A. Wainger, S.D. Sifleet, T. Petty, and B. Rashleigh. 2015. [Benefit transfer with limited data: An application to recreational fishing losses from surface mining](#). *Ecological Economics* 119:384–398.